

Proposal Title :	Uralla LEP 2012 - Rural Bound Certain Rural and Environmen		I Detached Dual Occupancies in
Proposal Summary :	The purpose of the Planning Proposal is to amend Uralla LEP 2012 to permit: - detached dual occupancies in the RU1 Primary Production, RU2 Rural Landscape, E3 Environmental Management and E4 Environmental Living Zones; and - include a rural boundary adjustment clause that will apply to the RU1 Primary Production, RU2 Rural Landscape, E3 Environmental Management, E4 Environmental Living Zones and R5 Large Lot Residential Zones		
PP Number :	PP_2015_URALL_002_00	Dop File No :	15/05911
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	15-Apr-2015	LGA covered :	Uralla
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Uralla Shire Council
State Electorate ;	NORTHERN TABLELANDS	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Policy		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
	Land Parcel : Uralla LEP 2012 - RU1 Primary Production, RU2 Rural Landscape, E3 Environmental Management, E4 Environmental Living Zones and R5 Large Lot Residential Zones		
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Craig Diss		
Contact Number :	0267019685		
Contact Email :	craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov.a	u	
<b>RPA Contact Deta</b>	ils		
Contact Name :	Elizabeth Cumming		
Contact Number :	0267786300		
Contact Email :	lcumming@uralla.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	communications and meetir Region's knowledge. The No this proposal, nor has the N	and Environment's Code of Practings with lobbyists has been comp orthern Region has not met with a orthern Region been advised of a obbyists concerning the proposal	lied with to the best of the ny lobbyists in relation to ny meeting between other
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objectives and intend for the proposed amendn	ded outcomes of the Planning Pro nent to Uralla LEP 2012.	posal are adequately expressed
Explanation of provi	sions provided - s55(2)(	b)	
Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes			
Comment :	The Planning Proposal pr the objectives and intend	rovides a clear explanation of the led outcomes.	intended provisions to achieve
Justification - s55 (2	)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the Direct	tor General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions iden	tified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones	
* May need the Director	General's agreement	1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zone	S

Certain Rural and Envir	ronmental Zones	
	3.1 Residential Zones 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection	
Is the Director Genera	al's agreement required? Yes	
c) Consistent with Standa	ard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have the	e RPA identified? SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Uralla LGA.	
Have inconsistencies with	h items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? <b>No</b>	
If No, explain :	The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with s117 Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land and has not been identified by the relevant planning authority in the Planning Proposal. This matter is discussed in further detail below.	
Mapping Provided - s	55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? No		
Comment :	The Planning Proposal relates to changes to the written document only. No associated LEP mapping is required.	
	It is recommended that a map showing where the Planning Proposal applies within the LGA (RU1 Primary Production, RU2 Rural Landscape, E3 Environmental Management, E4 Environmental Living Zones and R5 Large Lot Residential Zones) should be included in the Planning Proposal for exhibition purposes.	
Community consultat	tion - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consultat	tion been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	The relevant planning authority has identified a 14 day exhibition period for the proposal. The Planning Proposal is considered to be a 'low impact' proposal and the proposed notification period is considered to be satisfactory.	
Additional Director G	eneral's requirements	
Are there any additional [	Director General's requirements?	
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of t	he proposal	
Does the proposal meet t	he adequacy criteria?	
If No, comment :	<ul> <li>The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by:</li> <li>1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;</li> <li>2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes;</li> <li>3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;</li> <li>4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and</li> <li>5. Providing a project time line.</li> </ul>	
	Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the Planning Proposal is considered to be dealing with matters of local significance, it is appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council.	
	The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for finalisation and notification by October 2015. A 9 month time frame for completion of the	

proposal is recommended to ensure sufficient time is available to complete the proposal. roposal Assessment		
Due Date :		
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Uralla LEP 2012 was notified on 23 March 2012.	
Assessment Criteri	a	
Need for planning proposal :	The Planning Proposal is not the result of a strategic study or report.	
	The need for the proposed changes, and the increased planning flexibility that will result, has been identified by Council through the operation of the current LEP since 2012.	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	While no Regional Strategies apply to the Uralla LGA, the New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan does apply. The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with the provisions of the Strategic Regional Land Use Plan.	
	The proposal is considered not to be inconsistent with Council's local growth management strategy (New England Development Strategy) that was approved by the Director General in March 2010. While Council's local strategic planning work does not specifically address the issues of rural boundary adjustments or detached dual occupancies, the Planning Proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the intent of the strategy (particularly in relation to detached dual occupancies as no increase in potential dwelling density will result as attached dual occupancies are already permissible in the zones).	
	The Planning Proposal has been identified as being consistent with all applicable SEPPs and section 117 Directions, except in relation to the following:	
	4.3 Flood Prone Land While not identified by the relevant planning authority, this Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land that is flood prone. The proposal will result in the potential for detached dual occupancies to be built in areas that are subject to flooding. Council's LEP and DCP both have controls relating to flood prone land and the inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be minor due to the development controls already in place.	
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection This Direction is relevant to the proposal to as it will amend and introduce new planning provisions applying to bushfire prone land. The Direction requires the RPA to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway Determination has been issued. Until this consultation has occurred the consistency of the proposal with the Direction remains unresolved.	
Environmental social economic impacts :	No significant environmental impact has been identified as resulting from the Planning Proposal. It is considered that any site specific issues can considered and assessed appropriately at the development stage.	
	The increased flexibility associated with the proposed changes are likely to have positive social and economic impacts for landowners.	

#### **Assessment Process**

Assessment Proces	SS				
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire S	ervice			
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(	(b) : <b>No</b>				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional s	studies, if required.;				
If Other, provide reasor	ns :				
No additional studies	are considered nece	ssary to sup	port the proposal.		
Identify any internal cor	nsultations, if required	:			
No internal consultation	on required				
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastru	cture relevant	t to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
ocuments					
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	ame	Is Public
Council Cover Letter.pdf		Proposal Coverin	g Letter	Yes	
Council Report and Re Planning Proposal.pdf			Proposal Proposal		Yes Yes
			Fioposai		169
anning Team Recom	mendation				
Preparation of the plann	ning proposal support	ted at this stag	ge : Recommended with Con	ditions	
S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment 3.1 Residential Z 4.4 Planning for	ones			
Additional Information :	_				
	1. The Planning	Pronoeal has	sunnorted:		

1. The Planning Proposal be supported;

2. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 14 days;

3. The Planning Proposal be completed within 9 months;

4. That the RPA consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service in

1

	accordance with the requirements of S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection; 5. The potential unresolved inconsistency with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection be noted; 6. The Secretary's delegate agree that the inconsistency with S117 Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land is a matter of minor significance; 7. That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council; and 8. Prior to public exhibition the Planning Proposal be amended to include appropriate mapping illustrating the land affected by the proposal.		
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal will result provide greater to flexibility to landowners and will help address matters identified by Council through the operation of the current LEP.		
Signature:	· ·		
Printed Name:	<u>Cruig Diss</u> Date: <u>20/4/15</u>		